

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/762,106 | 01/21/2004 | Knud Reuter | CH-7961/LeA 35,552 | 3885 | |
| 157 75 | 90 08/25/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| BAYER MATERIAL SCIENCE LLC | | | WU, SHEAN CHIU | | |
| 100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 1756 | 1756 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | | /_ | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summers | 10/762,106 | REUTER ET AL | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Shean C. Wu | 1756 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju | ne 2006 | | | | | |
|) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | , | | | | | |
| · _ | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 21-41 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26 and 27</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-22, 24-25 and 28-41</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | ⁻. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | • | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | d in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | · | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) D Notice of Informal Pa | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/762,106 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are vague because the polythiophene of the claims are not covered by claim 30. The anions and polyanions (counterions) are not part of formula (IV) in claim 30.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,106

Art Unit: 1756

4. Claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krishnamoorthy et al. (Synthetic Metals 124 (2001), pages 471-475).

The reference discloses a polymer based on a rigid cyanobiphenyl substituted 3,4 ethylenedioxythiophene used in industrial applications such as electrochromic materials and light emitting diodes (see abstract and section 1). The synthesis of poly 3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene is discloses in section 3.1.

The product "P2" obtained from electropolymerization of monomer of formula 2 on page 472 anticipates the claimed compound when n=1 in Claim 38.

5. Claims 37 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnamoorthy et al. cited in the section 4 above.

The present claim differs from the reference in that the reference does not specifically disclose the process for preparing conductive layers comprising the polythiophene of formula (IV), however, the reference polymer film comprising the present formula (IV) has conductivity. The studies of conductivity are shown in Fig. 1A and 1B. Also, see section 3.4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to expect the reference polymer film having a characteristic of conductive layer.

6. Claims 21, 24, 28, 30-31 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnamoorthy et al. cited in the section 4 above.

The reference teaching has been previously set forth in section 3. The reference differs from the claims in that the claims exclude the reference compound having a

Application/Control Number: 10/762,106

Art Unit: 1756

hexylene group. The present formulae (I) or (I-a) has a space group containing 1-20 alkylene. However, it is known that the length of space group $(CH_2)_6$ can be adjusted; therefore it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to modify the starting material by adjusting the length of alkyl of cyanobiphenyl derivatives for the same

Page 4

7. Claims 21-22, 24-25, 28-31 and 34-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kros et al. (Polymer Chemistry, 40(6), pages 738-747).

electrochemical applications to arrive at the claimed invention.

The reference discloses poly (3,4-ethylenedioxytiophene)-based copolymer for biosensor application. The 3, 4-ethyleendioxythiophene core structures with functional groups including phenylene ring (compound 4) is described in Scheme 1, which read on the present formula (I) and (I-a) when n=p=1, B=L=methylene, F=H and w=1, X¹= phenylene (formula II-a). The formula 3 of the reference also reads on the present formula (I) when n=p=1, A=CH₂CR₁R₂CH₂, R₁=CH₂OBz and R₂=H. The polymerization and copolymerization of monomers of the reference are disclosed in Table 1 on page 742. Also, see the mixture described on page 742, second paragraph and the section of conclusion on page 746. The reference polymer having conductive property anticipates the claimed invention.

8. Claims 28, 30-31, 34-36 and 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Besbes et al. (Advance Materials, 2001, 13. No.16 pages 1249-1252).

The reference discloses an electrochemical synthesis of polythiophene for applications in electrochromism, electrochemical and bioelectrochemical sensors. The polythiophene products are shown on page 1250. See the Scheme 1 and 2. The reference anticipates the claimed polythiophene.

9. Claims 37 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Besbes et al. cited in the section 8 above.

The present claim differs from the reference in that the reference does not specifically disclose the process for preparing conductive layers comprising the polythiophene of formula (IV), however, the reference polymer film comprising the present formula (IV) has conductivity. The studies of conductivity are shown in Fig. 1A and 1B. Also, see section 3.4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to expect the reference polymer film having a characteristic of conductive layer.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-25 and 28-41 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,106 Page 6

Art Unit: 1756

12. Claims 26-27 are allowed.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shean C. Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-1393. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shean C Wu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

scw